

# **A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF MICROFINANCE INSTITUTIONS OF INDIA AND BANGLADESH**

*Thesis submitted in fulfillment for the requirement of the Degree of*

**Doctor of Philosophy**

by

**ANAND KUMAR RAI**



JAYPEE BUSINESS SCHOOL

JAYPEE INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY

A-10, SECTOR 62, NOIDA, INDIA

July, 2012

@ Copyright JAYPEE INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY, NOIDA

July, 2012

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TOPICS	PAGE NO.
<b>INNER FIRST PAGE</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>DECLARATION BY THE SCHOLAR</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>SUPERVISOR'S CERTIFICATE</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>KEY TERMS</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>01-06</b>
1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES	3
1.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	5
1.4 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	5
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>07-19</b>
2.1 THEORETICAL LITERATURE	
2.1.1 DEFINITION OF MICROFINANCE	7
2.1.2 APPROACHES TO MICROFINANCE	7
2.1.3 MICROFINANCE DELIVERY MODELS	8
2.1.4 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODELS	10
2.1.5 MIX MODEL FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	12
2.1.6 ISSUES OF SUSTAINABILITY	16
2.2 EMPIRICAL LITERATURE REVIEW	17
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	
<b>OVERVIEW OF MICROFINANCE INSTITUTIONS IN INDIA AND BANGLADESH</b>	<b>20-65</b>
3.1 MICROFINANCE: INDUSTRY OVERVIEW AND EXPANSION STRATEGIES	20
3.1.1 THE RISE OF MICROFINANCE SINCE 1970S	20
3.1.2 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS	22
3.1.3 REACHING THE POOREST	23
3.1.4 STRENGTH AND WEAKNESS OF MICROFINANCE	24
3.1.5 STRATEGY OF INTEGRATION	25
3.1.6 EXPANSION STRATEGIES IN MICROFINANCE	26

	<i>Cont...</i>
<b>TOPICS</b>	<b>PAGE NO.</b>
3.2 MICROFINANCE INSTITUTIONS IN INDIA	38
3.2.1 ECONOMY	38
3.2.2 POVERTY	38
3.2.3 THE NEED FOR MICROFINANCE	39
3.2.4 CONCERN IN INDIAN MICROFINANCE SECTOR	42
3.2.5 MAJOR MFIS AND THEIR ROLE IN INDIA	45
3.2.5.1 SWAYAM KRISHI SANGAM (SKS)	45
3.2.5.2 SHARE MICROFINANCE LTD	47
3.2.5.3 BASIX FINANCE	51
3.3 BANGLADESH-HISTORY AND OVERVIEW	56
3.3.1 HISTORY	56
3.3.2 LAND AND PEOPLE.	56
3.3.3 ECONOMY.	57
3.3.4 STRUCTURE OF THE EDUCATION SYSTEM.	57
3.4 MAJOR MFIS AND THEIR ROLE IN BANGLADESH	58
3.4.1 BRAC	59
3.4.2 GRAMEEN BANK.	60
3.4.3 ASSOCIATION FOR SOCIAL ADVANCEMENT (ASA)	63
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	
<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>66-75</b>
4.1 THE DATA AND THE SAMPLE	66
4.2 MODELS AND TECHNIQUES	68
4.2.1 FINANCIAL INDICATORS USED FOR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	69
4.2.2 MANN-WHITNEY U TEST	72
4.2.3 KRUSKAL-WALLIS ONE WAY ANOVA	73
4.2.4 MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS	74
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	
<b>DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS</b>	<b>77-107</b>
5.1 INDEPENDENT TWO SAMPLE (INDIA AND BANGLADESH) MANN-WHITNEY U TEST FOR THE INDICATORS	77
5.2 TREND OF VARIOUS FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS OF MFIS OF INDIA AND BANGLADESH	78
5.3 INDEPENDENT TWO SAMPLE (NBFC AND NGO OF INDIA) MANN- WHITNEY U TEST FOR INDICATORS	85
5.4 AGE-WISE COMPARISON OF INDIAN MFIS USING KRUSKAL-WALLIS H TEST	86

	<i>Cont...</i>
<b>TOPICS</b>	<b>PAGE NO.</b>
5.5 AGE-WISE TREND OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS OF INDIAN MFIS	87
5.6 FINANCIAL FACTORS AFFECTING SUSTAINABILITY OF INDIAN MFIS USING LINEAR REGRESSION	94
5.7 FINANCIAL FACTORS AFFECTING SUSTAINABILITY OF MFIS OF BANGLADESH USING LINEAR REGRESSION	96
5.8 COMPARISON OF YIELD AND OPERATING EFFICIENCY OF INDIAN MFIS	98
5.9 COMPARISON OF YIELD AND OPERATING EFFICIENCY OF MFIS OF BANGLADESH	99
5.10 MODEL FOR FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY INDEX	100
5.10.1 INTRODUCTION	100
5.10.2 DEFINITION	100
5.10.3 METHODOLOGY TO DEVELOP THE MODEL	102
5.10.4 MODEL VALIDATION	105
5.10.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE MODEL	106
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>107-110</b>
<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
6.1 CONCLUSIONS	107
6.2 FUTURE RESEARCH AND THINKING	110
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>111-113</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>114-162</b>

## **DECLARATION BY THE SCHOLAR**

I hereby declare that the work reported in the Ph.D. thesis entitled “**A Comparative Analysis of the Financial Performance of Microfinance Institutions of India and Bangladesh**” submitted at **Jaypee Institute of Information Technology University, Noida, India**, is an authentic record of my work carried out under the supervision of **Dr. Moonis Shakeel (Internal supervisor)** and **Dr. Kanwal Anil (External supervisor)**. I have not submitted this work elsewhere for any other degree or diploma.

(Signature of the Scholar)

(Anand Kumar Rai)

Jaypee Business School

Jaypee Institute of Information Technology University, Noida, India

July 23, 2012

## **SUPERVISOR’S CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the work reported in the Ph.D. thesis entitled “**A Comparative Analysis of the Financial Performance of Microfinance Institutions of India and Bangladesh**”, submitted by **Anand Kumar Rai** at **Jaypee Institute of Information Technology University, Noida, India**, is a bonafide record of his original work carried out under my supervision. This work has not been submitted elsewhere for any other degree or diploma.

(Signature of Supervisor)  
(Dr. Moonis Shakeel)  
Jaypee Business School, Noida  
July 23, 2012

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I deem it a privilege to begin this acknowledgement by expressing my profound sense of gratitude to my revered internal supervisor **Dr. Moonis Shakeel** for his sagacious and intellectual stimulation throughout the present study. His scholarly suggestions, research acumen, immense interest and affectionate behaviour have been a great inspiration for me.

I am thankful to my external supervisor **Dr. Kanwal Anil** for her careful and critical review without which this thesis would have been far less illuminating and readable.

I am grateful to **Dr. Neerja Pande**, Director, Jaypee Business School, Noida for providing an environment conducive for learning, her encouragement and guidance that made my efforts see the light of day.

This work has also been enriched by the insights gained by me during my interface with a galaxy of distinguished Indian academicians: **Dr. Ravi Shankar, Dr. Naseem Abidi, Prof. Rahul Chandra, Dr. Rana Singh, Dr. Surender Kumar, Dr. Meghna Sharma and Dr. Sujata Kapoor**. I am grateful to each one of them for sparing their valuable time to discuss issues and methodology with me.

I would like to thank **Mr. Kaushal Kishore Singh**, UDC, Army Institute of Management & Technology, G. Noida for his computer related support.

This study could not have been possible without the support of my family.

I am indebted to all my family members who have been a constant source of encouragement for me. I am indebted to my parents, parents-in-law and **Mr. Anil Rai** (brother-in law), who always encouraged me to go ahead with the completion of the thesis.

I would be failing in my duties if I do not thank my wife **Prof. Sandhya Rai**, who was more concerned than me about this work. Her support and encouragement gave real pace for this study.

I am thankful to my son **Shrestha** and daughter **Adhisthi**. Their smiles always encouraged me to work effortlessly.

I also thank everyone associated directly or indirectly with completion of this work.

Last, but not the least to almighty god for his blessings and guidance.

July 23, 2012

Anand Kumar Rai



## **ABSTRACT**

India and Bangladesh are two developing countries in the world. Poverty is the major problem in these countries. In these economies, it is argued that among others absence of access to credit is presumed to be the cause for the failure of the poor to come out of poverty. Lending to the poor involves high transaction cost and risks associated with information asymmetries and moral hazards. Microfinance is one of the ways of building the capacities of the poor who are largely ignored by commercial banks and other lending institutions and graduating them to sustainable self-employment activities by providing them financial services like credit, savings and insurance.

To provide microfinance and other support services, Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) should be able to sustain themselves for a long period. Bangladesh has been the pioneer in the field of microfinance movement and a significant contribution to the development of the country has been made by the several MFIs. Grameen Bank, BRAC, ASA and Prashika are some of them. Therefore it is interesting to compare the financial performance of MFIs of India and Bangladesh and to see where they stand against each other.

This study has found that from last five years i.e. from 2005 till 2010, the Indian MFIs have performed better than the MFIs of Bangladesh in most of the financial indicators.

Portfolio quality in India ( $\text{PAR} > 30 \text{ days} = 2.4\%$ ) is far better than the Bangladesh of 12.1% and global median of 3.1%. The operating efficiency of Indian MFIs is better and increasing because of the higher growth in outreach and better utilization of manpower (the main operating expense of MFIs). Despite the improvement in operating efficiency, the yield of Indian MFIs is rising. This means that Indian microcredit borrowers are now paying a relatively high cost for their microcredit. And at the same time there has been a substantial widening in the margin available to the average MFIs for covering financial expenses, loan loss provisions and surplus. The MFIs of Bangladesh are having relatively better Capital to Asset ratio but the trend is increasing for Indian MFIs. Still Indian MFIs have to increase their capital base so as to serve the large poor population.

In terms of outreach or the absolute number of active borrowers, both the countries are at the same level. However, the growth rate of Indian MFIs is much higher (60% CAGR in the last five years) as compared to Bangladesh (stagnant). Though the market penetration is quite low in India particularly in UP, MP, Bihar, Orissa, Chhattisgarh, which shows that there exists huge business opportunities for Indian NBFC MFIs. However, at the same time the Indian MFIs will have to explore the cost effective means to reach to the least densely populated

area.

In case of Operational Self Sufficiency, Yield to Gross Loan Portfolio and Return on Asset, no significant differences have been found between Indian MFIs and the MFIs of Bangladesh. However, it can also be observed that both these countries have above 90% clients as women borrowers, which justify the social commitment of MFIs of their respective countries.

In Return on Equity indicator, the equity holders will be more interested in investing into India MFIs than the MFIs of Bangladesh, as they will earn higher return on their investment.

It has also been concluded that the MFIs, which are converting themselves into NBFC, are financially more viable and their outreach is high.

The Young MFIs of India are creating better quality asset and at a faster rate while the mature MFIs are utilising administrative and personnel expenses in a much better manner.

Through the analysis of the second objective, it is found that the outreach and capital adequacy are the prominent factors, which are affecting the financial sustainability of MFIs. Nevertheless, the capital structure does not affect the sustainability. In case of Bangladesh, the asset quality and capital adequacy are the main factors which affect the sustainability of MFIs. Again, the capital structure does not affect the sustainability of MFIs.

A model for checking financial sustainability of MFIs is also suggested which is used to create a sustainability index for various countries and help the regulator identifying the strong and weak areas of the sector. In addition, the existence of new model is also expected to facilitate MFIs to access to capital markets. Having access to sustainability information may reduce some of the transaction uncertainty.

While microfinance remains a small proportion of the overall financial system in terms of portfolio size, it is growing much faster; bank credit grew by 17.5% during 2008-09 while microfinance portfolios grew by around 100%. As a result, in terms of portfolio size as well as number of clients served it is becoming an increasingly significant part of the financial system.

## **LIST OF ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>ACTB</b>	Number of Active Borrowers
<b>ADB</b>	Asian Development Bank
<b>BPSM</b>	Borrowers per Staff Member
<b>BRAC</b>	Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee
<b>CA</b>	Capital to Asset Ratio
<b>CAR</b>	Capital Adequacy Ratio
<b>CGAP</b>	Consultative Group to Assist the Poor
<b>CIBIL</b>	Credit Information Bureau India Ltd.
<b>DFID</b>	Department for International Development, United Kingdom
<b>FSS</b>	Financial Self Sufficiency
<b>GDP</b>	Gross Domestic Product
<b>GLP</b>	Gross Loan Portfolio
<b>IFC</b>	International Finance Corporation
<b>IPO</b>	Initial Public (Stock) Offering
<b>IRDA</b>	Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority
<b>JLG</b>	Joint Liability Group
<b>M&amp;A</b>	Mergers and Acquisitions
<b>MFI</b>	Microfinance Institution
<b>MIX</b>	Microfinance Information Exchange
<b>MSME</b>	Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprise
<b>MYRADA</b>	Mysore Resettlement and Development Agency
<b>NABARD</b>	National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development
<b>NBFC</b>	Non-Banking Finance Company
<b>NGO</b>	Non-Governmental Organization
<b>NPL</b>	Nonperforming Loan
<b>OELP</b>	Operating Expense to Loan Portfolio
<b>OSS</b>	Operational Self Sufficiency
<b>PACs</b>	Primary Agricultural Credit Societies

<b>PAR</b>	Portfolio at risk
<b>RBI</b>	Reserve Bank of India
<b>RFAS-2003</b>	Rural Finance Access Survey 2003
<b>ROA</b>	Return on Assets
<b>ROE</b>	Return on Equity
<b>RRB</b>	Regional Rural Bank
<b>RTGS</b>	Real-Time Gross Settlement System
<b>SBPL</b>	Self-Help Group Bank Linkage Program
<b>SEWA</b>	Self Employed Women's Association
<b>SHG</b>	Self-Help Group
<b>UN</b>	United Nations
<b>WB</b>	Women Borrowers

## KEY TERMS

**MICROFINANCE:** The provision of a broad range of financial services such as deposits, loans, money transfers, and insurance to small enterprise and households

**CAPITAL/ASSET RATIO:**  $\text{Adjusted Total Equity} / \text{Adjusted Total Assets}$

It indicates how much of a safety cushion the institution has to absorb losses before creditors are at risk. Currently all NBFCs are required to maintain Capital Adequacy Ratio to Risk Weighted Assets of 15%.

**NUMBER OF ACTIVE BORROWERS:**

The number of loans extended per year and since inception shows the ability of the MFI to reach more clients and achieve a degree of scale.

**PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN BORROWERS:**

Percentage of Women borrowers shows the commitment of MFIs towards social welfare and poverty alleviation.

**RETURN ON ASSETS:**  $(\text{Adjusted Net Operating Income} - \text{Taxes}) / \text{Adjusted Average Total Assets}$

Return on Assets is a measure of the productive use of the company's assets.

**RETURN ON EQUITY:**  $(\text{Adjusted Net Operating Income} - \text{Taxes}) / \text{Adjusted Average Total Equity}$

ROE measures an MFI's ability to reward shareholders' investment, build its equity base through retained earnings, and to raise additional equity investment. By excluding donations and non-operating revenues, ROE demonstrates an institution's ability to generate income from its core financial service activity

**OPERATIONAL SELF SUFFICIENCY:**  $\text{Financial Revenue} / (\text{Financial Expense} + \text{Impairment Losses on Loans} + \text{Operating Expense})$

OSS Measures how well an MFI can cover its costs through operating revenues. It is the most basic measurement of sustainability, indicating whether revenues from operations are sufficient to cover all operating expenses.

**YIELD ON GROSS PORTFOLIO:**  $\text{Adjusted Financial Revenue from Loan Portfolio} / \text{Adjusted Average Gross Loan Portfolio}$

Yield on gross portfolio measures the ability of MFIs to generate Financial Revenue from its Loan Portfolio. Therefore the higher Yield means the higher interest rate the MFIs are

charging from its borrowers.

**OPERATING EXPENSE/LOAN PORTFOLIO:** Adjusted Operating Expense / Adjusted Average Gross Loan Portfolio

The Operating Expense Ratio highlights personnel and administrative expenses relative to the loan portfolio and is the most commonly used efficiency indicator. The lower the operating expense ratio, the more efficient the MFI.

**BORROWERS PER STAFF MEMBER:** Adjusted Number of Loans Outstanding / Number of Personnel

This ratio is defined as the overall productivity of the MFI's personnel in terms of managing clients, including borrowers, voluntary savers, and other clients. The ratio should also be evaluated in light of portfolio at risk to ensure that productivity gains are not at the expense of asset quality.

**PORTFOLIO AT RISK > 30 DAYS:** Outstanding balance, portfolio overdue > 30 days + renegotiated portfolio / Adjusted Gross Loan Portfolio

PAR is important because it indicates the potential for future losses based on the current performance of the loan portfolio.

**FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY:** Financial sustainability is defined as having an operational sustainability level of 110% or more, while Operational sustainability is defined as having an operational self-sufficiency level of 100% or more.

**MIX MARKET™:** MIX market is a global, web-based, microfinance information platform. It provides information to sector actors and the public at large on microfinance institutions (MFIs) worldwide, public and private funds that invest in microfinance, MFI networks, raters/external evaluators, advisory firms, and governmental and regulatory agencies

**Sa-Dhan:** Sa-Dhan is the Association of Community Development Finance Institutions Founded by SEWA Bank, BASIX, Dhan Foundation, FWFB, MYRADA, RGVN, SHARE and PRADAN in 1999. Its mission is to help its member and associate institutions to better serve low-income households, particularly women, in both rural and urban India

**MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS:** Statistical procedure that attempts to assess the relationship between a dependent variable and two or more independent variables. Example: Sales of cars (the dependent variable) is a function of various factors, such as its price, advertising, fuel efficiency, interiors and the prices of its major competitors (the independent variables)

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Caption	Page No.
3.1	Customer-centric Approach	29
3.2	Firm-centric Approach	29
3.3	Steps Corresponding to Expansion Strategies	31
3.4	Franchising: Creating Value by Leveraging Successful Business Model	32
3.5	Value Creation through Differentiation	34
3.6	Value Creation through Synergy	37
5.1	Return on Asset of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	79
5.2	Return on Equity of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	80
5.3	Operational Self Sufficiency of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	81
5.4	Yield on Gross Portfolio of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	82
5.5	Operating Expense/ Loan Portfolio of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	83
5.6	Borrowers per Staff Member of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	84
5.7	Portfolio at Risk > 30 days of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	85
5.8	Age-wise Capital/Asset Ratio of Indian MFIs	88
5.9	Age-wise Outreach of Indian MFIs	88
5.10	Age-wise Return on Asset of Indian MFIs	89
5.11	Age-wise Return on Equity of Indian MFIs	90
5.12	Age-wise Operational Self Sufficiency of Indian MFIs	91
5.13	Age-wise Yield on Gross Portfolio of Indian MFIs	92
5.14	Age-wise Operating Expense/Loan Portfolio of Indian MFIs	93
5.15	Age-wise Borrowers per Staff Member of Indian MFIs	93
5.16	Age-wise Portfolio at Risk > 30 Days of Indian MFIs	94
5.17	Histogram for dependent variable OSS	96
5.18	Comparison of Yield and Operating Efficiency of Indian MFIs	98
5.19	Comparison of Yield and Operating Efficiency of MFIs of Bangladesh	99
5.20	Scales of Financial Indicators	104

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Caption	Page No.
3.1	Social System Framework	26
3.2	Market and Strategies	27
3.3	BRAC at a glance	60
3.4	Grameen Bank at a glance	62
3.5	ASA at a glance	65
5.1	Capital/Asset Ratio of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	78
5.2	Number of Active Borrowers of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	78
5.3	Percent of Women Borrowers of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	79
5.4	Return on Asset of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	79
5.5	Return on Equity of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	80
5.6	Operational Self Sufficiency of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	81
5.7	Yield on Gross Portfolio of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	82
5.8	Operating Expense/Loan Portfolio of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	83
5.9	Borrowers per Staff Member of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	84
5.10	Portfolio at Risk > 30 Days of MFIs of India and Bangladesh	85
5.11	Age-wise Capital/Asset Ratio of MFIs of India	87
5.12	Age-wise Number of Active Borrowers of MFIs of India	88
5.13	Age-wise Return on Asset of MFIs of India	88
5.14	Age-wise Return on Equity of MFIs of India	89
5.15	Age-wise Operational Self Sufficiency of MFIs of India	90
5.16	Age-wise Yield on Gross Portfolio of MFIs of India	91
5.17	Age-wise Operating Expense/Loan Portfolio of MFIs of India	92
5.18	Age-wise Borrowers per Staff Member of MFIs of India	93
5.19	Age-wise Portfolio at Risk > 30 days of MFIs of India	94
5.20	Model Summary of Linear Regression for Sustainability of Indian MFIs	95
5.21	ANOVA (b)	96



<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Caption</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
5.22	Model Summary of Linear Regression for Sustainability of MFIs of Bangladesh	97
5.23	ANOVA (b)	97
5.24	Comparison of Yield and Operating Efficiency of MFIs of Bangladesh	99
5.25	Weight for the Indicators	103
5.26	Indicators Range and standard	104
5.27	Data on SKS Microfinance (NBFC) and SEWA (Bank) for the year 2009-10	105
5.28	Score of the indicators for the standards and MFIs	105