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Abstract: Document management plays a decisive role in modern e-government applications. As today's authorities have to face the challenge of increasing the efficiency and quality while decreasing the duration of their government processes, a flexible, adaptable document management system is needed for large e-government applications. In this paper, we introduce a new approach for a document management model that helps to face this challenge. The model is based on two new document management concepts that extend common document management facilities: hierarchical process folders and security levels. A hierarchical process folder mainly consists of files that belong to a government process and includes all documents processed during process execution. The folder grows during execution and contains all versions of changed, existing, and added documents. The process folders can be used in a single authority software system as well as in distributed e-government software systems. More precisely, this means that the model of hierarchical process folders can be deployed to exchange process folders in whole or in part between authorities to support the execution of distributed hierarchical government processes. We give an example how the application to single authorities and distributed systems is possible by describing the implementation within our distributed e-government software system. The application of security levels to documents allows the encryption of documents based on security relevant properties, e.g. user privileges for intra authority security and network classification for inter authority communication. The benefits of our model are at first a centralised data management for all documents of a single or a hierarchical government process. Secondly, a traceable history of all data within government processes, which is very important for the archival storage of the electronic government processes, is provided. Thirdly, the security levels allow a secure intra authority document accessing system and inter authority document communication system.
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1. Introduction

An important objective in the modernization process of authorities is the application of e-government solutions. These solutions help to support the communication process between citizens and authorities on the one hand and increase the efficiency of internal government processes on the other hand. In this paper, we deal with the internal process execution. The execution of large government processes involving many employees depends highly on the treatment and transfer of documents. To reach the goal of increasing the efficiency by reducing delay time a flexible document management system (DMS) is needed. However most existing document management systems are not designed to fulfil the special requirements of e-government applications. Within the scope of our research project, we faced the challenge of embedding a DMS into the overall software system architecture and adapt this DMS to the special needs of authorities. These needs include an easy integration of the DMS into the existing information technology (IT) infrastructure, the auditable safe archival storage of the documents and an easy adaptation to the utilised software system used for the execution of hierarchical government processes.

In this paper we introduce a new approach for a document management model that fits the needs mentioned above. The model is based on two new concepts that extend common document management facilities: hierarchical process folders and security levels. These concepts are implemented as part of the reference architecture for e-government (RAfEG) software system (Beer, Kunis and Rünger, 2006).

Hierarchical process folders deal with the storage of all versions of the documents of a process in a bundled manner. This is a necessary and advantageous approach enabling the inspection of all processed documents at each point of the process execution. The hierarchical aspect is reflected in separate process folders of all processes in a process hierarchy. Merge points at the start and completion times of subprocesses guarantee a fluent cooperation between processes within a single authority and between authorities. Security is a crucial point in the field of distributed process execution. Integrity, confidentiality, authenticity and traceability have to be guaranteed for each transferred document. The proposed solution of application of security levels to documents allows the encryption of documents based on security relevant properties, e.g. user privileges for intra authority security and network classification for inter authority communication.

Reference this paper as:
There are other European and German projects in the field of e-government. The “Document Management and Electronic Archiving in Electronic Courses of Business” (DOMEA) concept (KBsT, 2005b) is the basis of the German Government for achieving the aim of a paperless office. Particularly, DOMEA introduces the concepts and criteria that should lead to paperless offices in the administrations. The three-piece modular structure of DOMEA consists of documents, records and files. In contrast to our solution the issues of hierarchical process execution and security in the distributed process execution is not addressed.

Within the “standards and architectures for e-government” (SAGA) (KBsT, 2005a), defined by the German Federal Ministry of the Interior, regulations on standards, procedures, methods and also products for the modern IT-evolution are provided. Standards are divided into different categories (mandatory, recommended, under observation and rejected). SAGA also proposes applications like the “Government Site Builder”. This application is a content management system whose DMS component offers versioning on change, write locks and the possibility to use meta-data for documents. This functionality is similar to that of our DMS implementation. However, the “Government Site Builder” was not designed for the work with cross-authority process execution.

Throughout the European Union the “Model Requirements for the Management of Electronic” Records (MoReq) (Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2002) project defines the basic requirements for document management. The provided requirements list is very detailed and based on the ISO 15489 standard (ISO, 2001). Beside requirements for DMSs MoReq defines criteria for document functions, e.g. workflows, email and electronic signatures. The specification solely specifies the requirements and provides no implemented solution as we do.

This short summary shows that other projects also deal with document processing in e-government. However none of the presented efforts reaches the possibilities provided by us, especially in the case of distributed processing. The benefits of our model are at first a centralised data management for all documents of a single or hierarchical government process. Secondly, a traceable history of all data within government processes, which is very important for the archival storage of the electronic government processes, is provided. Thirdly, the security levels allow a secure intra authority document accessing system and inter authority document communication system. Because we also provide an implementation of our model we can show that the application to authorities is guaranteed.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 gives an overview of DMSs. In Section 3 the concept of hierarchical process folders is introduced. Section 4 deals with the concept of security levels. Section 5 gives a short overview of the RAfEG software system. In Section 6 we discuss the cooperation of the models in the distributed process execution while Section 7 concludes the paper.

2. Document management systems

DMSs are used for the creation, capturing, modification, storage and the propagation of electronic documents. In general DMSs are designed to assist organisations to manage the creation and flow of documents through the provision of a centralised repository. Many DMSs exist that are distinct in their base as well as extra functionality.

2.1 Importance of open source software in document management systems

It is generally agreed that software in the sector of public administration is in use over a very long time period. Thus this software has to compete with changes of the underlying hardware and software. If DMSs utilise closed source software, there is a high risk that support is discontinued because of company acquisition, insolvency or strategic decisions. The support problem can be solved using software with public interfaces. This makes a migration to different software systems implementing the same public interfaces possible. Migration to new software is in general expensive or even impossible if large data sets grown over years are considered. There are open standards for DMSs, e.g. ODMA (DMWare, 1997), DMA (DMWare, 2002) and WebDAV/DeltaV (Goland et al, 1999). Using such standards enables the possibility to exchange the DMS because public interfaces are provided. An advantage using open source software is, that this software has a large community which develops/adapts the software components over time. Even if the software support is discontinued developers can adapt the software because they have access to the source code. Open source software also has the advantage to be free of charge whereas proprietary software is in general very expensive and support requires additional payments.
2.2 Document management system protocols

The protocols DMA, ODMA and WebDAV have proved of value in DMS software. Both DMA and ODMA are interfaces depending on OLE and DCOM and are therefore only usable on Windows platforms. Through this restriction, we use WebDAV as an interface between our system and the DMS. WebDAV is an extension to the Hypertext Transfer Protocol 1.1 (HTTP/1.1) (Network Working Group, 1999). It adds additional header data and methods to the protocol which enhance the support for storing and managing data. These enhancements are:

- **Collections**: A collection is a set of documents accessible through a name. Much like normal directories in operating systems. WebDAV adds methods to manage Collections.
- **Locking**: Locking refers to the ability to protect Collections and Files from being modified in a specific time period, i.e. if a user writes a document, no other user can perform a write operation on the document.
- **Properties**: Through properties it is possible to store meta data for Collections and Files. This meta data can be used by a developer to store additional information.
- **Copy/Move**: There is support to copy or move data and collections.

The original WebDAV standard had no support for versioning files and collections, but there is an enhancement called WebDAV/DeltaV (Network Working Group, 2002). Versioning means that changes to a document can be stored and it is possible to access all versions of a document. Some systems save each version as a new document whereas other solutions just safe the changes. WebDAV/DeltaV enables the storing of different versions of data which can be merged together (checkin/checkout). A simple mechanism for versioning data is the DeltaV auto versioning. With auto versioning every modification of data or meta data results in a new version. This functionality is sufficient for our software system to store different revisions of documents. WebDAV uses authentication and authorization methods provided by HTTP/1.1. Other inherited properties from HTTP/1.1 are the support for encrypted communication, use of proxies and caching of data. Because of the popularity of HTTP, WebDAV is easily usable within the infrastructure of large authorities. Especially there are no problems using WebDAV with protection systems like firewalls.

2.3 Evaluation of open source document management systems

In this subsection we compare two different DMSs in terms of their usability for our software system. The open source DMSs are Jakarta Slide and Subversion with its WebDAV module for the Apache web server.

2.3.1 Jakarta slide

Jakarta Slide is a low level content management framework, which can be used by developers to implement their own DMS. It is open source software developed under an Apache license. Jakarta Slide offers support for WebDAV and its enhancements like DeltaV and DASL (DAV Searching and Locating). It provides a Client-API that enables the easy integration into own projects and it is very flexible in its storage system integration. Normal file systems or database systems can be used. The WebDAV/DeltaV interface to Jakarta Slide is implemented as an Apache Tomcat-Servlet-Container and provides easy integration of the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) (Wahl, Howes and Kille, 1997) authorization system that we use in our software system. Jakarta Slide is integrated into the Apache Jakarta project and therefore long time support is guaranteed.

2.3.2 Subversion

Subversion is a version management system like CVS (concurrent versioning system) developed under an open source licence. It can be combined with WebDAV/DeltaV as interface protocol by utilising an Apache web server with subversion module. The Apache web server additionally offers the ability to integrate LDAP based user management. As in Jakarta Slide the storage of data is very flexible. File systems or database systems can be used. Subversion offers support for storing just the modifications between different versions for binary and text data. Subversion has an increasing popularity over the last few years and is used to manage large software repositories in open source projects. Therefore, subversion will be supported and actively enhanced over a long period of time.

2.3.3 Selection of the system that fits the needs in e-government

Large administrations store a large amount of data, e.g. PDF-forms and GIS (geographical information system) data. These data are often changed during process execution resulting in many different data
versions. Because of its efficient handling of versioned data we chose subversion as low level DMS component of our system. But our software design and the use of open interfaces also enables the easy adoption to Jakarta Slide.

3. The concept of hierarchical process folders

Our software system supports authorities by executing their government processes electronically. The processes are modelled as hierarchical workflows and executed by an underlying workflow management system. A workflow is a government process that is modelled in a form that allows a computer system to execute it. A workflow that is currently being executed is in the following called a process. The following definitions are based on the specifications by the workflow management coalition (Hollingsworth, 1995; Norin, 2002). Workflows consist of activities that belong to actions that are processed by the computer system (automated activity) or a user (manual activity). An automated activity can be a workflow itself. By this model hierarchical workflows can be described by defining the individual parts of the workflows as independent workflows and combining them by using automated activities. Because we design our government processes as workflows following this specification we are able to map large government processes consisting of many small parts to large workflows that our system can execute. The workflow definitions are based on the XML Process Definition Language (XPDL) (Norin, 2002). XPDL offers the possibility to store a process diagram that can be modelled by a tool and run by another tool. In our case the modelling tool is Enhydra JaWE (Java Workflow Editor) (Trichkov and Trichkova, 2006) and the execution tool, also referred to as Workflow Management System (WFMS) or process execution system, is based on Enhydra Shark (Enhydra, 2007) or WfM Open (WfMOpen, 2007) respectively. Due to generic interfaces we are able to exchange the WFMS in our software system.

3.1 Hierarchical processes

In contrast to small processes consisting of only a few activities we deal with large processes that are designed hierarchically. This hierarchical design is necessary because parts of the processes are executed on external systems. Instead of copying the overall process to all involved systems only the needed small parts that should be executed have to be available. Therefore the hierarchical design enables a flexible modelling approach. Large processes only need to define the interfaces for their smaller components. As an example we give the process of the official approval of building plans in Figure 1. In our context the terms parent process and child process are used to indicate the relationship between two processes in a hierarchical order. The overall process is called the parent process and any of the subprocesses is called child process. Parent processes may pass values to the children. The mechanism how documents are transferred from a parent process to a child process and backwards is described later. In the figure the root process is the official approval of a building plan (P1). This process has one subprocess called execute the official approval of a building plan. This process is child of P1 and also parent of five processes (handle claims, ...). This shows the hierarchical structure of the government processes we deal with and will be used for the examples in the rest of the paper.
3.2 Implementation of the document management system

We use a combination of an Apache web server and a subversion repository as motivated in Section 2.3.3. The core components of the DMS can be seen in Figure 2. The system can be accessed via HTTP/1.1. The Apache web server utilises a subversion module to communicate with the subversion repository. If a user connects via the Apache web server to the repository at first his permissions are checked against the LDAP server. The LDAP server stores the user information and permissions. If access is granted the user can read the specified document. If a user requests to write a document to the repository the access check is done in a similar way, but in addition to read permissions the user needs also write permissions. Any write operation of a document results in a new version of the document. This version includes all changes the user did and information on the user. As automated activities do not have an executing user, the operations performed on documents (e.g. a document was written back after changing on another system) are assigned to a special system user that is handled in the same way as normal users.
Figure 2: Overview of the implemented and utilised DMS components. The DMS server consists of three components, an Apache wdeb server with subversion module, a subversion system and an LDAP server.

3.3 The configuration of the repository

The structure of the repository consists of three primary directories. The configuration of the repository can be seen in Figure 3. In the “template directory” templates for documents, graphical process trees and webforms of the loaded workflows are stored. A workflow is called loaded if its definition is present in the WfMS and it is possible to execute this definition as a process. A graphical process tree is utilised to show the actual process execution location. Webforms are needed to output the activity variables and documents that can be changed by a user in the graphical user interface. The “process folder directory” is subdivided into directories representing the process folders of the actual executed processes. In the “archive directory” the parts of the process folders that have to be archived for later reference are stored after the completion of a process.

Figure 3: Configuration of the repository. The three main directories “AllDocuments”, “Archive” and “Processes” are shown
3.3.1 The template directory “AllDocuments”

In this directory all input documents of the processes are stored. This directory contains at least the subdirectory “docs” where process independent documents are stored. Additionally there is a directory for any process package that is loaded into the system containing process bound documents of the workflows of the package. A process package contains one or more workflow definitions of government processes that are logically connected. A hierarchical modelled workflow can be stored with all workflow parts in a single process package. The advantage of this approach is the possibility to define global variables that can be accessed by all workflow parts. The process package directories contain all documents that are relevant for the execution of the workflows contained in the corresponding process package. In the figure an example is given as process package “pfv” that contains all workflows belonging to the official approval of building plans. The subdirectories created for any process package are:

- Subdirectory “docs”: This directory contains all predefined forms and documents of the workflows in the process package. The documents in this directory can coincide with documents in the global “docs” directory. The document that should be used later is configured by an administrator when the process package is loaded into the system.
- Subdirectory “svg”: This directory contains the graphical representation of the processes in the process package. An example how this representation looks like was given in Figure 1. The representation is utilised to show the progress of the processes during their execution.
- Subdirectory “webforms”: The third directory contains the webforms of all manual activities in the workflows of the process package needed for the graphical output and interaction of users with the system. A webform is the description of the modelled variables and their modification possibilities, e.g. read only, read and write, the documents belonging to the activity and in some cases also texts of law that belong to an activity.

Template documents must be added manually because they do not belong to a specific workflow definition contained in a process package. If a process package is imported or changed a mapping file is created. This mapping file maps generic names for documents that are specified in the workflow definitions of a process package to existing documents stored in the template directory. An example is given in Figure 4. The use of generic names in the workflow definitions enables the easy adaptation of documents without revising the workflow definitions which would result in a great effort for large workflows.

The hierarchical process folder directory “Processes”

This directory contains the process folders of all running processes. Each process has its own process folder that is created at the starting time of the process and is named after the process identifier within the system. Figure 3 shows three process folders “201_pfv_12”, “202_pfv_1” and “203_pfv_16”. Any process folder consists of two subdirectories. The subdirectory “docs” is used for storing the documents of the process. These are the documents that were copied at the starting time of the process and additionally all documents created during process execution. The subdirectory “memos” is used to store memos created by users of the system to notify other users about events that are connected to the further execution of the process.

```
<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<mapping>
  <files>
    <map realname="form_claim1.pdf" refname="form_claim1"/>
    <map realname="form_hearing.txt" refname="form_hearing"/>
    <map realname="form_cp2006.pdf" refname="form_concerned_persons"/>
    <map realname="p_announcement2.pdf" refname="prototype_announcement"/>
  </files>
  <directories/>
</mapping>
```

Figure 4: Example of a mapping file that is used to map generic document names given in workflow definitions of a process package to existing documents in the template directory.

At the starting time of a non-hierarchical process the document templates are copied from the template directory. The copy procedure is based on the mapping file and copies all documents given by their generic name in the workflow. The case of hierarchical processes needs special treatment. In the case of starting a subprocess of another process the copying of documents from the template directory is replaced by the
hierarchical search for documents in the parent process hierarchy. This is necessary because it is possible that the subprocess needs documents from the parent process and there may be data previously inserted into documents in the parent process which has to be available. Therefore, in the case of a subprocess the copy procedure searches for the documents of the subprocess in the process folder of the direct parent process. Direct means that this parent process is the one that started the subprocess as automated activity. If documents are found in this process folder they are copied and the algorithm finishes. All documents that were not found are searched for in the process folder of the direct parents parent process folder if existing. The search continues until the actual parent has no direct parent itself, i.e. it is the root of the process hierarchy. If a document was not found in the process folders hierarchy, it is copied from the template directory. When a process finishes its execution this procedure is done in the reverse direction. All documents of the process are copied back to the correct parent process folder. This copy is a new version of the document in the parent’s process folder.

3.3.2 The archive directory “Archive”

Data of all finished processes are transferred to the archive directory, because the data have to be stored for subsequent inspection. Instead of using the process identifier as in the process folder the reference number of the process is used as a directory name now. In Figure 3 two completed processes exist “A01_2006” and “A02_2006”. The archive contains the document directory “docs” and the memo directory “memos”. The document directory contains all versions of all documents that were processed during the execution of the process. The storage of the memos is necessary and important because it helps the administrator and the modeller to get hints on the execution in a later inspection. Analysing these memos may help to get feedback if the workflow description is modelled appropriately, i.e. if the same problem occurs in many processes of the same workflow a redesign should be done.

4. The concept of security levels

Our software system enables the distributed execution of government processes. Most of the operations within an authority involve external institutions in the execution of their government processes. In the case of our example process these institutions are other authorities, agencies of official concern or companies. When processes are executed in a distributed manner it is necessary to exchange information (e.g. documents) between the involved institutions. In most cases different networks connect them requiring different security arrangements. Because the security arrangements are needed to determine what level of security is necessary to transfer data over the networks we partition them in three levels, which we call the network security level \(N\text{-slevel}\). \(N\text{-slevel one}\) means that a network is highly trustable (e.g. the intranet of an authority), \(N\text{-slevel two}\) means medium trustable (e.g. a network between two authorities that is managed by a governmental agency) and \(N\text{-slevel three}\) means not trustable (e.g. the Internet). Document security levels \(D\text{-slevel}\) are implemented by adding additional information to the documents stored in the process folders. The annotation of additional information is possible due to the utilization of Subversion, Apache and the properties mechanism offered by WebDAV. Each document is annotated at least the \(D\text{-slevel}\). Although we applied further annotations to the documents, we consider only the \(D\text{-slevel}\) here. This level lies between one (low security needs) and three (high security needs) and is assigned by an administrator when adding the document to the template directory.

According to the \(N\text{-slevel}\) and the \(D\text{-slevel}\) the security level \(s\text{-level}\) is determined and the transfer security mechanism is chosen. The values for the \(s\text{-level}\) depend on four security aspects: confidentiality, integrity, authenticity and traceability (KBsT, 2005a).

- **Confidentiality** means protection against unauthorised notice, i.e. no one else except the sender and receiver of a document should be able to read it during the transportation.

- **Integrity** stands for the protection of documents against unauthorised modification. Data must not be changed during transportation. The modification may be caused mechanically, i.e. due to a technical error, or deliberately by an attacker.

- **Authenticity** covers the assurance that the sender sent the document, i.e. it has to be assured that it is impossible for an attacker to sent a message by adopting the identity of the original sender.

- **Traceability** means that it can be proofed who sent a document and who received it, i.e. the sender can proof that he sent the data (Non-repudiation of the origin of the data) and the receiver can proof that he received the data (Non-repudiation of the receipt of the data).
Table 1 shows the mapping of D- and N-level to the resulting s-level defining the needed security mechanism for transportation. No security mechanism means that the document is transferred without any security mechanism. Although it would be possible to ignore security levels and apply the highest security mechanism to each document and transfer medium, this approach would lead to a large amount of unnecessary overhead. Especially in the case of transferring many large documents to authorities with a slow internet connection, this approach is unreasonable.

**Table 1:** Mapping of D-levels and N-levels to s-levels determining the utilised security mechanism for the security aspects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>security aspect</th>
<th>document s-level</th>
<th>Security mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>network s-level =</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>highly trustable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>medium trustable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not trustable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confidentiality</td>
<td>low (1)</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>medium (2)</td>
<td>encryption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high (3)</td>
<td>encryption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integrity</td>
<td>low (1)</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>medium (2)</td>
<td>advanced signature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high (3)</td>
<td>qualified signature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>authenticity</td>
<td>low (1)</td>
<td>check for valid certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>medium (2)</td>
<td>challenge-response method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high (3)</td>
<td>challenge-response method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>traceability</td>
<td>low (1)</td>
<td>signed acknowledgement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>medium (2)</td>
<td>signed acknowledgement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high (3)</td>
<td>signed acknowledgement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the security mechanism of a document is encrypted, a cryptography algorithm is used before sending the document over the network. Possible encryption algorithms are: the Data Encryption Standard (DES) (FIPS, 1999) and Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) (FIPS, 2001) that belong to the class of symmetric algorithms, and Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) (Rivest, Shamir and Adleman 1977) and Elgamal (ElGamal, 1984) that belong to the class of asymmetric algorithms.

Asymmetric algorithms (public key systems) can also be used for the creation of digital signatures of documents. Public key systems use two keys to encrypt and decrypt data, a private and a public key. The private key is used to decrypt a message that was encrypted with the corresponding public key. A digital signature is defined as “Data in electronic form that are attached to other data or logically connected to other data and that serve as authenticity mechanism” (German Federal Ministry of Justice 2001). It is created by using the private key of the sender and is directly dependent on the contents of a message. The verification of the message can be done using the public key of the sender. The terms advanced signature and qualified signature are defined in (German Federal Ministry of Justice, 2001) as legally allowed signatures with increased demands on the signature attributes. An advanced signature is a signature that can only be created by the private key owner with the additional need that it must be ensured that a valid certificate is classifiable as belonging to the key owner. A certificate is a digital signed document that defines the binding between a public key and a certain name/system. Certificates are created by a certification authority and are valid over a fixed period. It must also be ensured that changes made to data belonging to this signature must be detectable. The qualified signature is an advanced signature that additionally has to be based on a qualified certificate and must be created with a secure signature creation unit, i.e. a chip card with the write protected private key.

The challenge-response method guarantees that the sender of a message is in possession of the private key that is assigned to the public key of the utilised certificate. An Acknowledgement means that the receiver has to confirm that he received the data from the sender. If a signed acknowledgement is necessary, the receiver has to sign the acknowledgement with his private key.

Our transport mechanism is based on OSCI Transport (OSCI-Leitstelle, 2002). OSCI-Transport enables the secure and traceable communication between two software systems. The basis for the message structure and the message transfer of OSCI is the Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) (Gudgin et al, 2007).
security functionality is implemented using XML Encryption (Imamura, Dillaway and Simon, 2002) and XML Signature (Eastlake 3rd, Reagle and Solo, 2002).

5. The reference architecture for e-government software system

The reference architecture for e-government software system is an open source based software system for the execution of large workflows within and between authorities. The key features of the system are flexibility, security, adaptability and interoperability between authorities. The main objectives of RAfEG include the provision of a system that can cope with security standards that are necessary in authorities and mechanisms to allow a distributed execution of workflows involving different workflow management systems. The RAfEG software system architecture is presented in Figure 5 and consists of four main components. These components are: the kernel component, the presentation component, the communication component and the workflow management system component. To allow the system to be flexible by exchanging components and to allow a distribution of the RAfEG core components to different servers, we have decided to implement them using the Enterprise JavaBeans technology (DeMichiel, Yalcinap and Krishnan, 2001; Monson-Haefel, 2004) and to use an application server (AS) to provide them. JBoss AS (Fleury, Stark and Richards, 2005) has been the choice because it is mature, free and open source.

The kernel component is responsible for allowing or refusing access from end users to the system, for coordinating access to the workflow management component for each user, for logging to allow a traceable history of all activities and for the access to the document management system server. Authentication and authorization is reached by the implementation of a security component. JBoss AS as well as other application servers support the definition of security permissions by implementing a special module that provides functions for checking username/password combinations. This module is used to authorize access to Enterprise JavaBeans. These Enterprise JavaBeans are the components of the core system that are remotely accessible. The logging ability of the kernel component is needed to allow the monitoring of all actions done by the user as well as the system and a failure analysis if problems occur during the execution of a process. The document management is especially important for the insertion of new forms, requests and texts of law. These are needed to allow an update of the system when procedures are updated or new ones are issued by the government. Furthermore, when a process is executed, documents are edited, have to be read or have to be inserted and checked when submitted by external requesters. By the use of electronic document processing the paperwork is profoundly reduced. To allow a rollback of a process it is also important that every version of a document is available. The kernel workflow component is also the connection point between the workflow management system component and the presentation and communication component.

The presentation component deals with the dynamic generation of the user interface (UI). The UI shows the actions a user can do to process an activity in an activity information page. When a new activity information page is requested by the user interface the kernel component collects the necessary information and stores it in an XML based format. This XML data passes a processing chain. In the processing chain the data is at first parsed for errors, then transformed several times and finally serialised (transformed into the output format, e.g. HTML, WML or PDF). The following example outlines this procedure. At first the workflow

Figure 5: The reference architecture for e-government software system. The parts belonging to the concepts of hierarchical process folders and security levels are marked by red borders.
component creates an XML representation of the data that has to be displayed. This XML format without layout information is transformed via XSLT into a new XML document including layout information. After an internationalization is done using an i18n transformer the created XML data is serialised into the specific output format, e.g. a web page. The Apache Cocoon framework (Brogden, D'Cruz and Gaither, 2002) is the solution we have chosen for realizing that processing chain because it is easy to integrate into JBoss AS, it is freely usable and it supports the outlined mechanisms for converting XML into different output formats.

The communication component is responsible for the sending and receiving of data and for applying the security levels to documents before communication. The communication should be secure, protocol independent and traceable. Secure means that it should allow an encrypted and user dependent transport of information within authorities as well as between authorities and other institutions. The criteria of protocol independence is needed, because to involve a large number of different authorities the RAfEG system must be able to deal with arbitrary equipment. Some offices may only support communication via HTTP(S), via email (simple mail transport protocol (SMTP)) and some may only support fax or even normal mail as they do not have an internet connection. In e-government the traceability of messages is an important factor that has to be considered. Considering these three criteria we choose to use the OSCI-Transport protocol. By the use of this component secure messages based on the security levels can be transferred between two RAfEG systems and also between RAfEG systems and other governmental systems implementing this protocol.

The workflow management system component connects the RAfEG software system to the utilised WfMS. As mentioned earlier our government processes are modeled as workflows. To support a wide range of WfMS the workflow management system component is built as a standardised layer between the RAfEG kernel component and the underlying WfMS. The function of this component is mainly the provision of methods to access work lists containing user activities, to integrate new government processes modeled as workflows and to update existing ones, to start processes and to accept, execute and complete activities by employees. Additionally, some features that are not implemented by workflow management systems are added to this component. Firstly, the mechanism for appending documents to workflows using hierarchical process folders. Secondly, the possibility of adding memos to activities is also needed. This helps employees to pass on special execution notices for later processed activities and also to read the notices of activities processed earlier during the workflow execution. These memos are bound to the workflow they are created in. As this component is a layer around the actually utilised WfMS and connects it to the other RAfEG core system components, the WfMS can be easily replaced. This is especially important if the authority already has a specific WfMS in use and does not want to replace it.

6. Cooperation of the models in the distributed execution of government processes

The external execution of government processes primarily deals with the automated transfer of documents between the involved authorities. The documents have to be sent from the software system executing the parent process to the external authority executing the child process. After the execution of the child process the documents have to be reintegrated into the parent process. Our system is not limited to the exchange of documents that exist in the parent process but also enables the integration of newly created documents. An example can be seen in Figure 6.

This figure shows two RAfEG software systems that execute the government processes modelled as workflows. The example process is the official approval of building plans that was introduced earlier. Software system I (S1) is the system executing the parent process (execute the official approval of a building plan, P) while software system II (S2) executes the child process (process resolution process, C). This example is abstract because typically the transfer network between two institutions is the same in both directions. However, this example aims to show the potentialities of our system.

The example starts with the execution of an activity in process P that includes an external subprocess C. The single steps are shown in Table 2. S2 is waiting for requests to start the execution of process C. At first a request for the execution of C is sent from S1 to S2. S2 starts the process, creates its process folder and waits for the needed documents to be transferred. Afterwards P gathers information on the documents that have to be transferred to the system S2 and the D-slevel of these documents. This information and the information of the green network in the figure are utilised to determine the security mechanism needed for the documents. The determination is done with the data given in Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent process executing system (S1)</th>
<th>Child process executing system (S2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0. request the external execution of the child process</td>
<td>0a. create and initialise the child process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: gather information on documents that have to be sent to the child system</td>
<td>0b. create the hierarchical process folder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: read the documents and their D-slevel from the document management system</td>
<td>Wait for documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: read information on the network that should be used for the transfer of the documents</td>
<td>1: receive the documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. calculate the slevel of any of the documents depending on steps 2 and 3 = slevel</td>
<td>2. store the received documents in the hierarchical process folder of the child process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. transfer the documents according to the calculated slevel</td>
<td>3. copy all needed documents that were not send from the template directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait for the child process to complete</td>
<td>4. execute the child process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. receive return data and the return documents</td>
<td>4.1 process finishes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. store the documents in the process folder of the parent process</td>
<td>5. gather information on documents that have to be resent to the parent system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. continue execution</td>
<td>6. read the documents and their D-slevel from the document management system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. read information on the network that should be used for the transfer of the documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. calculate the slevel of any of the documents depending on steps 5 and 6 = slevel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. signal the completion of the child process and transfer return values and the documents according to the calculated slevel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Afterwards the documents are transferred. In Figure 6 these are two documents with *D-slevel one* (green) and one with *D-slevel two* (blue). Because the transfer medium is highly trustable, the security mechanism is mainly affected by the *D-slevel*. In addition to the documents the needed mapping file parts are also transferred. S2 copies the received documents and the mapping file to the process folder of the earlier started process C. All needed documents of C that are not sent by the parent process are copied from the template directory. This is the same mechanism as in the case when the parent process was on the same software system. The process C is executed and system S1 waits for the completion of C. The documents can change during the processing of C and new documents can be added to the process folder of C. When the process is completed S2 notifies S1 and S1 waits for the documents to be sent. Therefore the information on the documents as well as the *D-slevel* are gathered and the documents are sent to S1. In the example we have to deal with a medium secure network indicating that the *slevel* has to be at least medium. It can be seen that the earlier sent green documents have now the colour blue meaning medium *slevel*. The blue document from the start of the process remains blue. Additionally a new document with a *D-slevel of three* (red) is sent back to process P. P stores the documents and the adopted mapping file to its process folder and proceeds with its execution.
Figure 6: Example of the external process execution. The involved software systems, the document management systems, the data that is transferred and the involved networks are shown. The green (upper) network (parent to child) is a highly trustable network meaning that the security level of the documents is the security level for the transfer. The blue (lower) network (child to parent) is medium trustable. Because a medium network indicates that the security level has to be at least medium the documents with a small security level have to be adopted for the transfer.

7. Conclusion

Document management is very important in e-government for reaching the goal of paperless offices. The management of documents using our model of hierarchical process folders is tailored to the execution of government processes. Within a process folder all documents of a process are stored and every change of a document results in a new version. This enables a traceable version history of all process data. Therefore, our model is comparable to a changing file containing all information of a government process with the addition that every version can be restored. The included archival storage mechanism facilitates later inspection of all completed processes. Our model of security levels provides security mechanisms that are tailored to the processing of distributed government processes within and between authorities. The two proposed concepts are implemented in the reference architecture for e-government software system.
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